Comment Set D.50: Jeff Gordon

09/15/2006 12:46 FAX	805 520 0229 <u>BRIGHTWISE</u>	Ø 001
	CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	
	Public Meeting Comments	<i>5</i>

Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project
Date: 9/15/06
Hame*: Teff Gordon
Affiliation (if any):* 100 19h + Wise
Address:* 0139 1800 57 7005
City, State, Zip Code:* Simi Valley, CA 93063
relephone Number:*
See attached letter 1 page Follows
*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requests
Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Inse

additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by September 18, 2006. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (661) 215-5152 or emailed to antelope-pardee@aspeneg.com.

09/15/2006 12:47 FAX 805 520 0229

BRIGHTWISE

Ø 002

BrightWise action analyticing

September 15, 2006

Mr. John Boccio, CPUC, EIR Project Manager Marian Kadota, Forest Service, EIR Manager Aspen Environment Group 30423 Canwood St., Suite 215 Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Dear Mr. Boccio and Ms. Kadota:

I am writing to voice my opposition to the CPUC's proposed alternative 5 route to the SCE proposed Antelope Transmission Project of segment 1.

Edison's proposal is a more economically and environmentally prudent approach. The Alternate 5 option would not only depress the property values for many of the homeowners in the area, it will bring humans into closer proximity to electromagnetic fields (EMF's) than Edison's proposal.

While the debate will continue to rage over linkage between EMF's and health issues like Cancer, why take the risk that we may someday find that there is indeed such a causality when the alternative route makes the point moot?

Thank you for your consideration.

Jeff Gordon

D.50-1

Not off the shelf

2139 Tapo Street, Suite 203 Simi Valley, California 93063 PH 805.520.7837 FX 805.520.0229

Final EIR/EIS Ap.8D-115 December 2006

Response to Comment Set D.50: Jeff Gordon

D.50-1 Thank you for submitting your opinion on Alternative 5. Please see General Response GR-1 regarding potential effects on property values, and General Response GR-3 regarding EMF concerns.